

APPLICANT(S): GINZBURG, Boris et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 10/734,120  
FILED: December 15, 2003  
Page 7

#### **REMARKS**

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant asserts that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

#### **Status of Claims**

Claims 2-5, 8-9, 11-12, 14-17, 19, 35-38 and 40 remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-7, 10, 13-18 and 20-39 were rejected.

Claims 8-9, 11-12, 19 and 40 have been objected to.

Claims 2, 8, 11, 14, 16, 19, 35, 37 and 40 have been amended. Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims add no new matter.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 13, 18, 20-34 and 39 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. In making this cancellation without prejudice, Applicants reserve all rights in these claims to file divisional and/or continuation patent applications.

#### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 8-9, 11-12, 19 and 40 would be allowable if rewritten to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8, 11, 19 and 40 have been rewritten in independent form including all the elements of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, claims 8, 11, 19 and 40 and the claims dependent thereon are allowable.

APPLICANT(S): GINZBURG, Boris et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 10/734,120  
FILED: December 15, 2003  
Page 8

### **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

#### **35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 10 and 20-33 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Sridhar et al. (5,550,881) in view of Cookman et al. (6,697,831).

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 6-7, 13-18 and 34-39 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Sridhar et al. (5,550,881) and Cookman et al. (6,697,831) in view of Hammons Jr. et al. (2002/0165626).

Claims 1, 6-7, 10, 13, 18, 20-34 and 39 have been cancelled without prejudice and therefore the rejections to these claims are now moot.

As discussed above, amended claims 8, 19 and 40 are allowable.

Claims 2-5 were amended to be dependent, directly or indirectly, from allowable claim 8. Claims 14-17 were amended to be dependent, directly or indirectly, from allowable claim 19. Claims 35-38 were amended to be dependent, directly or indirectly, from allowable claim 40. Therefore, claims 2-5, 14-17 and 35-38 are likewise allowable.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of claims 1-7, 10, 13-18 and 20-39 be withdrawn.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

APPLICANT(S): GINZBURG, Boris et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/734,120

FILED: December 15, 2003

Page 9

Please charge the \$210.00 large-entity fee for the one new independent claim over three to deposit account No. 50-3355. Aside from these fees, no fees are believed to be due associated with this paper. However, if any additional fees are due, please charge such fees to deposit account No. 50-3355.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Zeev Pearl  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 60,234

Dated: April 28, 2008

**Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP**

1500 Broadway, 12th Floor

New York, New York 10036

Tel: (646) 878-0800

Fax: (646) 878-0801